

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/393,966	09/10/1999	SEIJI TAKEUCHI	35.G2449	3549
5514 7590 10/22/2004  FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			ROBINSON, MARK A	
NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872	
J			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary  The MAILING DATE of this communication app		Applicant(s)  TAKEUCHI ET AL.  Art Unit  2872 e correspondence address			
	Examiner  Mark A. Robinson  ears on the cover sheet with the	Art Unit			
	Mark A. Robinson ears on the cover sheet with the	2872			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		e correspondence address			
Period for Reply	/ IO OFT TO EVOIDE A MONT				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions are provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 71,73-75 and 77-88 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 71,73-75 and 77-88 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mai				

Application/Control Number: 09/393,966 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 71,82 and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sawaki 5648874.

Sawaki meets the limitations of these claims as discussed previously. Regarding the new limitation of a holding frame, note that this feature is met by Sawaki's lens supporting structure shown in figs. 2 or 3 (the structure which supports lens plates 33,34,etc.) or the lens supporting structure shown in figs. 17 or 18 (the structure which supports the lens array). The phrase "for centering the effective area in the holding frame" is a statement of intended use which does not further limit the instant invention in a structural manner. Since the alignment mark (marker) shown by Sawaki is capable of various alignment uses, Sawaki anticipates the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/393,966 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 73-75,77-81 and 84-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawaki.

The limitations of these claims are met by or obvious over Sawaki as discussed previously. Regarding the new limitation of a holding frame, note that this feature is met by Sawaki's lens supporting structure shown in figs. 2 or 3 (the structure which supports lens plates 33,34,etc.) or the lens supporting structure shown in figs. 17 or 18 (the structure which supports the lens array). The phrase "for centering the effective area in the holding frame" is a statement of intended use which does not further limit the instant invention in a structural manner. Since the alignment mark (marker) shown by Sawaki is capable of various alignment uses, Sawaki anticipates the limitations of the claims.

Art Unit: 2872

## Response to Arguments

Page 4

5. Applicant's arguments regarding the claims as amended have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As discussed above, Sawaki meets the limitations directed to the holding frame and alignment mark.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2319.

Application/Control Number: 09/393,966 Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn, can be reached at (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR

10/21/04

////////////MARKA. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER